

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 552 (1998) 99-108



# Preparation and NMR spectroscopical investigations of (diethylamino)(methoxy)-methylchlorodi– and –trisilanes

U. Herzog \*, K. Trommer, G. Roewer

Institut für Anorganische Chemie, TU Bergakademie Freiberg, D-09596 Freiberg, Germany

Received 16 June 1997; received in revised form 4 August 1997

#### Abstract

Starting from the methylchlorodisilanes  $SiClMe_2-SiClMe_2$ ,  $SiCl_2Me-SiCl_2Me$  and methylchlorotrisilanes  $SiClMe_2-SiClMe_2$ . SiClMe\_2 and  $SiCl_2Me-SiClMe-SiCl_2Me$  the stepwise reaction with first  $HC(OMe)_3/[AlCl_3]$  and second HNEt<sub>2</sub> leads to the formation of (diethylamino)(methoxy)-methylchlorooligosilanes. The products were investigated by means of <sup>29</sup>Si, <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy and GC/MS measurements. © 1998 Elsevier Science S.A.

Keywords: NMR spectroscopy; Methylchlorodisilanes; Methylchlorotrisilanes

#### 1. Introduction

We have recently reported the synthesis of various (diethylamino)-methylchlorooligosilanes [1,2] as well as (methoxy)-methylchlorooligosilanes [3] starting from the corresponding methylchlorooligosilanes by reaction with diethylamine or trimethylorthoformiate/ $AlCl_3$ :

$$\stackrel{+2 \text{ HNEt}_2 - \text{HNEt}_2 \cdot \text{HCl}}{\stackrel{-}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{\stackrel{-}{\longrightarrow}}{\stackrel{-}{\longrightarrow}} \text{Si} - \text{NEt}_2$$

$$\stackrel{+ \text{HC}(OMe)_3 [\text{AlCl}_3] - \text{HCOOMe} - \text{MeCl}}{\stackrel{-}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{\stackrel{-}{\longrightarrow}}{\stackrel{-}{\longrightarrow}} \text{Si} - \text{OMe}$$
(1)

A detailed investigation of the stepwise substitution

showed, that there is a high regioselectivity of both reactions. Especially in the case of aminations, the reaction can be carried out very well step by step, that means for instance:

$$\operatorname{SiCl}_{2}\operatorname{Me} - \operatorname{SiCl}_{2}\operatorname{Me} + 4\operatorname{HNEt}_{2} \xrightarrow{-2\operatorname{HNEt}_{2} \cdot \operatorname{HCl}} \rightarrow$$
  
SiClMe(NEt<sub>2</sub>) - SiClMe(NEt<sub>2</sub>)  
and only traces of Si<sub>2</sub>Me<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>(NEt<sub>2</sub>)  
and Si<sub>2</sub>Me<sub>2</sub>Cl(NEt<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (2)

The aim of this work was the combination of these reaction pathes and the preparation of the first (diethyl-amino)(methoxy)-methylchlorooligosilanes. Such compounds are interesting as model compounds for structures in polymer precursors of Si–NCO ceramics [4,5] and as synthons bearing different protecting groups for

0022-328X /98 /\$19.00 © 1998 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Fakultät für Chemie und Physik, Bergakademie Freiberg, Leipziger Str. 29, D-09596 Freiberg/Sa.

further reactions. Two protecting groups offer the possibility of a selective reintroduction of chlorine substituents after derivatizations with organometallic reagents:

 $\xrightarrow{\text{-MCl}} \text{MeO} \xrightarrow{\text{Si}-\text{Si}-\text{Si}-\text{OMe}} \xrightarrow{\text{Cl}-\text{Si}-\text{Si}-\text{Cl}} \xrightarrow{\text{Cl}-\text{Si}-\text{Si}-\text{Cl}} \xrightarrow{\text{Si}-\text{Cl}} \xrightarrow{\text{Si}-\text{Si}-\text{Cl}} \xrightarrow{\text{Si}-\text{Cl}} \xrightarrow{Si}-\xrightarrow{Si}-\xrightarrow{Si}-\xrightarrow{Si}-\xrightarrow{Si}-\xrightarrow{Si}-\xrightarrow{Si}-\xrightarrow{Si}-\xrightarrow{Si}-\xrightarrow{Si}-\xrightarrow{S$ 

The successful introduction of vinyl as well as allyl substituents into diethylaminosubstituted methylchlorodisilanes, for instance SiClMe(NEt<sub>2</sub>)–SiMe(NEt<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, was already investigated by us in Ref. [6].

Because of the different stability of Si–O and Si–N bonds during hydrolysis such compounds offer a higher variability in sol–gel processes than simple te-traalkoxysilanes.

#### 2. Results and discussion

Various methods and reaction conditions were investigated to prepare (diethylamino)(methoxy)-methylchlorooligosilanes (Scheme 1). The only successful method was to synthesize the (methoxy)-methylchlorooligosilanes first and than adding the HNEt<sub>2</sub> to obtain silanes containing diethylamino, methoxy, methyl and chloro substituents. Attempts applying the opposite order failed. Since the introduction of OMe substituents via the standard route  $R_3Si-Cl + MeOH + NEt_3$  is less selec-

tive than the reaction with 
$$HC(OMe)_3$$
 in presence of  $AlCl_3$  [3], the first was only used, if all Si–Cl bonds should be exchanged for OMe substituents.

(3)

Because the Si–O-bond is the most stable in this system, the reaction of (diethylamino)-methylchlorooligosilanes with either  $HC(OMe)_3/$ , [AlCl<sub>3</sub>] or  $MeOH/NEt_3$  results in a cleavage of Si–N bonds and only product mixtures. The corresponding methoxymethyloligosilane yields, if an excess of  $HC(OMe)_3$  or MeOH was used.

Whereas a great number of the substitution reactions take an expected course, some surprises occurred, especially if the educts are highly halogenated oligosilanes.

#### 2.1. (Diethylamino)(methoxy)-methylchlorodisilanes

Starting from 1,2-dichlorotetramethyldisilane only one compound can be prepared, which contains simultaneously methoxy and diethylamino substituents, Scheme 2.





Scheme 2. Derivatives of 1,2-dichlorotetramethyldisilane.



Scheme 3. Derivatives of 1,1,2,2-tetrachlorodimethyldisilane.

Table 1						
<sup>29</sup> Si NMR	shifts of	(diethylamine	o)(methoxy)	-methylch	lorodisilane	s <sup>a</sup>

Compound	δ/ppm		
	Si <sup>A</sup>	Si <sup>B</sup>	
$Me_3Si^A - Si^BMe_2Cl[7]$	$-18.2 (^{1}J_{SiSi}: 94.0)$	22.9	
$Me_3Si^A - Si^BMe_2(NEt_2)$	$-22.3 ({}^{1}J_{\text{SiSi}}: 96.4)$	-3.2	
ClMe <sub>2</sub> Si–SiMe <sub>2</sub> Cl [4]	17.2		
$(NEt_2)Me_2Si^A - Si^BMe_2Cl$	-5.7	17.6	
$(NEt_2)Me_2Si-SiMe_2(NEt_2)$	-6.2		
$(OMe)Me_2Si^A - Si^BMe_2(NEt_2)$	13.5	-7.4	
$(OMe)ClMeSi^{A}-Si^{B}MeCl(NEt_{2})$	8.3/6.7 <sup>b</sup>	$-0.9/-1.2^{b}$	
$(OMe)(NEt_2)MeSi^A - Si^BMeCl(NEt_2)$	- 8.8	3.3/2.4 <sup>b</sup>	
$(OMe)(NEt_2)MeSi^A - Si^BMe(NEt_2)_2$	-4.8	-12.9	
$(OMe)ClMeSi^{A}-Si^{B}Me(OMe)(NEt_{2})$	11.2/10.8 <sup>b</sup>	$-10.1/-10.3^{b}$	
$[(OMe)(NEt_2)MeSi^A-]_2$	$-6.5/-6.6^{b}$		
$(OMe)_2 MeSi^A - Si^B MeOMe)(NEt_2)$	-3.6	-8.6	

<sup>a</sup>NMR data of the series  $SiMeCl_i(OMe)_{2-i}$ -SiMe $Cl_j(OMe)_{2-j}$  are published in Ref. [3] and  $SiMeCl_i(NEt_2)_{2-i}$ -SiMe $Cl_j(NEt_2)_{2-j}$  in Ref. [1]. <sup>b</sup>Two diastereomers.



Scheme 4. Derivatives of 1,2,3-trichloropentamethyltrisilane.

More interesting is the stepwise substitution of 1,1,2,2-tetrachlorodimethyldisilane, Scheme 3. In the case of one methoxy substitutent, the first diethylamino group enters the molecule as expected at the other silicon atom. But surprisingly, the second diethylamino

group is introduced selectively at the SiMeCl(OMe) site, probably because of steric hindrance at the SiMeCl(NEt<sub>2</sub>) unit. Despite the fact, that it was impossible to obtain SiMe(NEt<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>–SiMe(NEt<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> by reaction of SiCl<sub>2</sub>Me–SiCl<sub>2</sub>Me with an excess of HNEt<sub>2</sub>, all



Scheme 5. Derivatives of 1,1,2,3,3-pentachlorotrimethyltrisilane.

Table 2	
<sup>29</sup> Si NMR shifts o	f (diethylamino)-methylchlorotrisilanes

Compound	$\delta/\mathrm{ppm}$				
	Si <sup>A</sup>	Si <sup>B</sup>	Si <sup>C</sup>		
(Me <sub>3</sub> Si <sup>A</sup> ) <sub>2</sub> Si <sup>B</sup> MeCl [8]	-15.0	9.9			
$(Me_3Si^A)_2Si^BMe(NEt_2)$	- 19.5	-13.4			
$(ClMe_2Si^{\overline{A}})_2Si^{\overline{B}}MeCl[9]$	19.5	-0.7			
$ClMe_2Si^A - Si^BMeCl - Si^CMe_2(NEt_2)$	20.3	0.3	-3.3		
$[(NEt_2)Me_2Si^A]_2Si^BMeCl$	-2.7	2.0			
$[(NEt_2)Me_2Si^A]_2Si^BMe(NEt_2)$	-4.1	-18.1			
$(Cl_2 MeSi^A)_2 Si^B MeCl [4]$	23.8	-4.9			
$Cl_2MeSi^A - Si^BMeCl - Si^CMeCl(NEt_2)$	26.3	-4.3	2.5		
[Cl(NEt <sub>2</sub> )MeSi <sup>A</sup> ] <sub>2</sub> Si <sup>B</sup> MeCl	3.8/4.1/5.2/5.4 <sup>a</sup>	$-4.9/-4.6/-4.0^{a}$			
$[Cl(NEt_2)MeSi^A]_2Si^BMe(NEt_2)$	$-2.0/-2.4/-8.6/-8.8^{a}$	$-19.7/-20.5/-21.3^{a}$			
$[(NEt_2)_2 MeSi^A]_2 Si^B MeCl$	$-7.6$ <sup>1</sup> $J_{\rm SiSi}$ : 98 Hz	0.9			

<sup>a</sup>Several diastereomers.

Si-Cl bonds are substituted by NEt<sub>2</sub> in the series  $Si_2Me_2Cl_i(OMe)_{4-i}$  i = 1-3. This should be explained by the steric overcrowding of a disilane bearing four bulky NEt<sub>2</sub> groups rather than by a decrease of the reactivity of the remaining Si-Cl bond. The <sup>29</sup>Si NMR data of (diethylamino)(methoxy)-

The <sup>29</sup>Si NMR data of (diethylamino)(methoxy)methylchlorodisilanes are summarized in Table 1.

#### 2.2. (Diethylamino)(methoxy)-methylchlorotrisilanes

2-Chloroheptamethyltrisilane reacts with two equivalents  $\text{HNEt}_2$  to pure 2-diethylaminoheptamethyltrisilane.

The reaction of 1,2,3-trichloropentamethyltrisilane with both, HC(OMe)<sub>3</sub> and HNEt<sub>2</sub> yields in a preferred substitution of the terminal Si–Cl bonds. This observation is also true during the formation of (diethylamino)(methoxy)methylchlorotrisilanes, Scheme 4. No products with terminal SiMe<sub>2</sub>Cl site and a central > SiMeY (Y = OMe, NEt<sub>2</sub>) unit could be obtained. The introduction of a OMe substituent at the central Si atom succeeds only by treatment of the chlorosilane with MeOH–NEt<sub>3</sub>.

More complicated are the reaction paths of 1,1,2,3,3-pentachlorotrimethyltrisilane, Scheme 5. The

stepwise reaction of this trisilane with HNEt<sub>2</sub> results first in a substitution of one Si–Cl bond at each terminal SiMeCl<sub>2</sub> unit. Secondly a transformation of the central > SiMeCl site into SiMe(NEt<sub>2</sub>) takes place. Surprisingly, the reaction with an excess of HNEt<sub>2</sub> yields a product were the central > SiMeCl site is recovered. No formation of the completely substituted product [SiMe(NEt<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>SiMe(NEt<sub>2</sub>) occurs, due to steric overcrowding. The transformation of [SiMeCl(NEt<sub>2</sub>)]<sub>2</sub> SiMe(NEt<sub>2</sub>) into [SiMe(NEt<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>SiMeCl shows very clear, that diethylamino substituents at silicon are kinetically labil. These reactions are rather thermodynamically than kinetically controlled.

If at least two Si–Cl bonds were transformed into Si–OMe groups, all remaining chlorine substituents can be exchanged for  $NEt_2$ , but the terminal Si–Cl bonds are more reactive.

The <sup>29</sup>Si NMR data of (diethylamino)-methylchlorotrisilanes are summarized in Table 2, <sup>29</sup>Si NMR data of (diethylamino)(methoxy)-methylchlorotrisilanes are given in Table 3.

To sum it up it can be said, that  $SiCl_2Me$  sites show the highest reactivity towards  $HNEt_2$  as well as towards  $HC(OMe)_3/[AlCl_3]$  mixture, followed by SiClMe(OMe)

Table 3					
<sup>29</sup> Si NMR	shifts of	(diethylamino)	(methoxy)-me	ethylchlorotris	ilanes

Compound	δ/ppm			
	Si <sup>A</sup>	Si <sup>B</sup>	Si <sup>C</sup>	
$[(OMe)Me_2Si^A]_2Si^BMe(NEt_2)$	14.4	-20.6		93.8
$[(OMe)Me_2Si^A]_2Si^BMe(OMe)$	14.0	6.5		94.9
$(OMe)(NEt_2)MeSi^A - Si^BMeCl - Si^CMeCl(OMe)$	$-4.5/-4.7^{a}$	-6.3	$5.8/4.6^{a}$	
[(OMe)(NEt <sub>2</sub> )MeSi <sup>A</sup> ] <sub>2</sub> Si <sup>B</sup> MeCl	$-3.8/-3.9^{a}$	-4.3		
$[(OMe)(NEt_2)MeSi^A]_2Si^BMe(NEt_2)$	$-2.3/-2.6/-2.9^{a}$	$-23.4/-22.6/-24.4^{a}$		
$[(OMe)_2 Me\tilde{Si}^A]_2 Si^B Me(NEt_2)$	-0.9	-26.7		
$[(OMe)_2^{T}MeSi^{A}]_2^{T}Si^{B}Me(OMe)$	-2.40	-0.90		120.1

<sup>a</sup>Several diastereomers.

Table 4
Preparation and analytical data of (diethylamino)-methylchlorodi- and -trisilanes

Compound	Starting	Molar ratio	Additional analytical data
	compound	$silan/HNEt_2$	( <sup>29</sup> Si NMR see Table 2)
$Me_3Si^A - Si^BMe_2(NEt_2)$	Me <sub>3</sub> Si-SiMe <sub>2</sub> Cl	1:2	$^{1}$ H NMR: 0.05 (Si <sup>A</sup> Me <sub>3</sub> ), 0.11 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me <sub>2</sub> ),
5 2. 2.	5 2		$0.97 M (N \sim Me), 2.80 M (N-CH_2)^{3} J_{HH}; 6.9 Hz; {}^{13}C NMR; -1.5 (Si^{A}Me_{3}), -0.8 (Si^{B}Me_{2}),$
			16.2 (N ~ Me), 41.3 (N-CH <sub>2</sub> ) ${}^{1}J_{SiAC}$ ; 42.9 Hz, ${}^{1}J_{SiBC}$ ; 46.9 Hz;
			$GC/MS: 203 (M^+, 24), 188 (M^+-Me, 25), 130 (SiMe_2NEt_2, 100), 73 (SiMe_3, 95)$
$(NEt_2)Me_2Si^A - Si^BMe_2Cl$	ClMe <sub>2</sub> Si-SiMe <sub>2</sub> Cl	1:2	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 0.22 (Si <sup>A</sup> Me <sub>2</sub> ), 0.42 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me <sub>2</sub> ), 0.98 M (N ~ Me),
	2 2		2.82 M (N-CH <sub>2</sub> ); ${}^{13}$ C NMR: -1.6 (Si <sup>A</sup> Me <sub>2</sub> ), 2.9 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me <sub>2</sub> ), 16.2 (N ~ Me), 41.3 (N-CH <sub>2</sub> )
$(NEt_2)Me_2Si-SiMe_2(NEt_2)$	ClMe <sub>2</sub> Si-SiMe <sub>2</sub> Cl	1:4	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 0.12 (SiMe <sub>2</sub> ), 0.98 M (N ~ Me), 2.82 M (N-CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>3</sup> $J_{HH}$ : 7.1 Hz
	2 2		$^{13}$ C NMR: 0.2 (SiMe <sub>2</sub> ), 16.2 (N ~ Me), 41.3 (N-CH <sub>2</sub> ) $^{1}J_{sic}$ : 38.5 Hz
$[Si^{A}Me_{3}]_{2}Si^{B}Me(NEt_{2})$	[SiMe <sub>3</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> SiMeCl	1:2	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 0.10 (Si <sup>A</sup> Me <sub>3</sub> ), 0.18 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me), 0.97 M (N ~ Me),
- 5-2 2	- 5-2		2.80 $M$ (N-CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>3</sup> $J_{HH}$ : 7.1 Hz
[Si <sup>A</sup> Me <sub>2</sub> (NEt <sub>2</sub> )]Si <sup>B</sup> ClMe-	(SiMe <sub>2</sub> Cl) <sub>2</sub> SiMeCl	1:2	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: $0.32$ (Si <sup>A</sup> Me <sub>2</sub> ), 0.63 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me), 0.56 (Si <sup>C</sup> Me <sub>2</sub> ), 1.01 <i>M</i> (N ~ Me),
[Si <sup>C</sup> Me <sub>2</sub> Cl]	2 2		$2.84 M (N-CH_2)^{3} J_{\mu\mu}$ : 6.7 Hz
$[Si^{A}Me_{2}(NEt_{2})]_{2}Si^{B}MeCl$	(SiMe <sub>2</sub> Cl) <sub>2</sub> SiMeCl	1:4	$^{1}$ H NMR: 0.25 (Ši <sup>A</sup> Me <sub>2</sub> ), 0.53 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me),
- 2 2 -2	2 2		$1.00 M (N \sim Me), 2.84 M (N-CH_2)$
$[Si^{A}Me_{2}(NEt_{2})]_{2}Si^{B}Me(NEt_{2})$	(SiMe <sub>2</sub> Cl) <sub>2</sub> SiMeCl	1:8	Only in mixture with [SiMe <sub>2</sub> (NEt <sub>2</sub> )] <sub>2</sub> SiMeCl
[Si <sup>A</sup> MeCl(NEt <sub>2</sub> )]Si <sup>B</sup> ClMe-	(SiMeCl <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SiMeCl	1:2	$^{1}$ H NMR: 0.65 (Si <sup>A</sup> Me), 0.74 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me), 0.92 (Si <sup>C</sup> Me),
[Si <sup>C</sup> MeCl <sub>2</sub> ]	2 2		$1.03 M (N \sim Me), 2.92 M (N-CH_2)$
[Si <sup>A</sup> MeCl(NEt <sub>2</sub> )] <sub>2</sub> Si <sup>B</sup> MeCl	(SiMeCl <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SiMeCl	1:4	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 0.67 (Si <sup>A</sup> Me), 0.76/0.77 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me), 1.08 <i>M</i> (N ~ Me),
2 2	2 2		3.0 M (N–CH <sub>2</sub> ), element. anal.: C: 35.18%, H: 7.91, N: 7.60% (calc. for $C_{11}H_{29}Cl_3N_2Si_3$ :
			C: 34.76%, H: 7.69%, N: 7.37%)
$[Si^{A}MeCl(NEt_{2})]_{2}Si^{B}Me(NEt_{2})$	(SiMeCl <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SiMeCl	1:6	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 0.29 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me), 0.58/0.61 (Si <sup>A</sup> Me),
2 2 2	2 2		$1.05 M (N \sim Me), 2.93 M (N-CH_2)$
$[Si^{A}Me(NEt_{2})_{2}]_{2}Si^{B}MeCl$	(SiMeCl <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SiMeCl	1:15	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 0.27 (Si <sup>A</sup> Me), 0.64 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me), 1.01 <i>M</i> (N ~ Me),
2			3.0 $M$ (N–CH <sub>2</sub> ); GC/MS: 452 (M <sup>+</sup> , 0.5), 379 (M <sup>+</sup> –2Et–Me, 1), 307 (M <sup>+</sup> –NEt <sub>4</sub> Me, 1),
			230 (Si <sub>2</sub> Me <sub>2</sub> (NEt <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> , 35), 187 (Si <sub>2</sub> Me <sub>3</sub> (NEt <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> , 100), 158 (Si <sub>2</sub> Me <sub>2</sub> NEt <sub>2</sub> , 16), 116 (37)

 Table 5

 Preparation and analytical data of (diethylamino)(methoxy)-methylchlorodisilanes

Droduct	Starting	Molar ratio	Additional analytical data
Floduct	compound	$shall/ HNEl_2$	( SI NWK see Table 1)
$(OMe)Me_2Si^A - Si^BMe_2(NEt_2)$	(OMe)Me <sub>2</sub> Si–SiMe <sub>2</sub> Cl	1:2	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 0.19 (Si <sup>A</sup> Me <sub>2</sub> ), 0.12 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me <sub>2</sub> ), 0.98 <i>M</i> (N ~ Me), 2.82 <i>M</i> (N-CH <sub>2</sub> ), ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ : 7.1 Hz, 3.48 (OMe)
$(OMe)CIMeSi^{A} - Si^{B}MeCl(NEt_{2})$	(OMe)ClMeSi–SiMeCl <sub>2</sub>	1:2	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 0.595, 0.599 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me <sup>*</sup> ), 0.617, 0.635 (Si <sup>A</sup> Me <sup>*</sup> ), 1.10 (N ~ Me), 2.96 (N-CH <sub>2</sub> ) ( <sup>3</sup> $J_{HH}$ : 7.0 Hz), 3.57 (OMe) <sup>*</sup> : two diastereomers
$(OMe)(NEt_2)MeSi^A - Si^BMeCl(NEt_2)$	(OMe)ClMeSi-SiMeCl <sub>2</sub>	1:4	
$(OMe)(NEt_2)MeSi^A - Si^BMe(NEt_2)_2$	(OMe)ClMeSi–SiMeCl <sub>2</sub>	1:6	GC/MS: 333 (M <sup>+</sup> , 1), 318 (M <sup>+</sup> –Me, 1), 245 (5), 217 (23), 187 (SiMe(NEt <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> , 100), 171 (23), 146 (SiMe(NEt <sub>2</sub> )OMe, 8), 116 (43), 114 (16), 75 (6)
$(OMe)ClMeSi^{A} - Si^{B}Me(OMe)(NEt_{2})$	[SiMeCl(OMe] <sub>2</sub>	1:2	
[(OMe)(NEt <sub>2</sub> )MeSi–] <sub>2</sub>	[SiMeCl(OMe] <sub>2</sub>	1:4	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 0.16 (SiMe), 1.05 (N ~ Me), 2.96 (N–CH <sub>2</sub> ) ( ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ : 6.8 Hz), 3.40 (OMe); GC/MS: 292 (M <sup>+</sup> , 4), 277 (M <sup>+</sup> –Me, 3), 220 (M <sup>+</sup> –NEt <sub>2</sub> , 9), 206 (43), 204 (81), 176 (72), 146 (SiMe(NEt <sub>2</sub> )OMe, 100).135 (22), 75 (55)
$(OMe)_2 MeSi^A - Si^B Me(OMe)(NEt_2)$	(OMe) <sub>2</sub> MeSi–SiMeCl(OMe)	1:2	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 0.188 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me), 0.204 (Si <sup>A</sup> Me), 1.03 (N ~ Me), 2.96 (N-CH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.42 (Si <sup>B</sup> OMe), 3.53 (Si <sup>A</sup> OMe)

Table 6 Preparation and analytical data of (diethylamino)(methoxy)-methylchlorotrisilanes

	Starting	Molar ratio	Additional analytical data
Product	compound	$silan/HNEt_2$	( <sup>29</sup> Si NMR see Table 3)
$[(OMe)Me_2Si^A]_2Si^BMe(NEt_2)$	[(OMe)Me <sub>2</sub> Si] <sub>2</sub> SiMeCl	1:2	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 0.217 (Si <sup>A</sup> Me), 0.230 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me <sub>2</sub> ), 0.99 (N ~ Me), 2.85 (N-CH <sub>2</sub> ) ( ${}^{3}J_{\rm em}$ : 6.7 Hz), 3.37 (OMe)
[(OMe)Me <sub>2</sub> Si <sup>A</sup> ] <sub>2</sub> Si <sup>B</sup> Me(OMe)	[(OMe)Me <sub>2</sub> Si] <sub>2</sub> SiMeCl	MeOH/NEt <sub>3</sub>	$^{1}$ H NMR: 0.21 (Si <sup>A</sup> Me), 0.40 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me), 3.4 (OMe)
$(OMe)(NEt_2)MeSi^A - Si^BMeCl-Si^CMeCl(OMe)$	[(OMe)ClMeSi] <sub>2</sub> SiMeCl	1:2	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 0.34 (Si <sup>A</sup> Me), 0.65/0.67 (Si <sup>C</sup> Me), 0.72/0.73 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me), 1.08 (N ~ Me), 2.98 (N-CH <sub>2</sub> ) ( ${}^{3}J_{uur}$ ; 6.8 Hz), 3.59 (OMe)
[(OMe)(NEt <sub>2</sub> )MeSi <sup>A</sup> ] <sub>2</sub> Si <sup>B</sup> MeCl	[(OMe)CIMeSi]₂SiMeCl	1:4	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 0.280, 0.299 (Si <sup>A</sup> Me), 0.619 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me), 1.05 (N ~ Me), 2.95 (N-CH <sub>2</sub> ), ( <sup>3</sup> $J_{HH}$ : 7.0 Hz) 3.44, 3.46 (OMe) GC/MS: 308 (M <sup>+</sup> -2OMe, 19), 301 (16), 267 (M <sup>+</sup> -OMe-NEt <sub>2</sub> , 26), 263 (M <sup>+</sup> -Cl-NEt <sub>2</sub> , 23), 248 (M <sup>+</sup> -Cl-Me-NEt <sub>2</sub> , 30), 192 (65), 188 (46), 158 (Si <sub>2</sub> Me <sub>2</sub> (NEt <sub>2</sub> ), 28), 146 (SiMe(OMe)(NEt), 100), 133 (36), 89 (SiMe <sub>2</sub> (OMe), 28), 75 (SiMe(OMe) <sub>2</sub> , 61), 59 (SiOMe, 83)
$[(OMe)(NEt_2)MeSi^A]_2Si^BMe(NEt_2)$	[(OMe)ClMeSi] <sub>2</sub> SiMeCl	1:6	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: $0.19/0.23$ (Si <sup>A</sup> Me), $0.26/0.30$ (Si <sup>B</sup> Me), 1 03 (N ~ Me), 2.87 and 2.95 (N-CH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.37/3.38 (OMe)
$[(OMe)_2 MeSi^A]_2 SiMe^B(NEt_2)$	[(OMe), MeSi], SiMeCl	1:2	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 0.16 (Si <sup>A</sup> Me), 0.40 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me), 0.99 (N ~ Me), 2.98 (N-CH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.52 (Si <sup>A</sup> OMe)
$[(OMe)_2^2 MeSi^A]_2^2 Si^B Me(OMe)$	[(OMe) <sub>2</sub> MeSi] <sub>2</sub> SiMeCl	MeOH/NEt <sub>3</sub>	<sup>1</sup> H NMR: 0.159 (Si <sup>A</sup> Me), 0.404 (Si <sup>B</sup> Me), 3.42 (Si <sup>B</sup> OMe), 3.50 (Si <sup>A</sup> OMe)



Scheme 6. General Si NMR chemical shift ranges of the investigated silyl groups.

sites. The reaction of SiClMe(NEt<sub>2</sub>) units with HNEt<sub>2</sub> is limited by a steric overcrowding. Si–Cl bonds at linear Si–*Si*ClMe–Si units show only low or no reactivity towards HNEt<sub>2</sub> and HC(OMe)<sub>3</sub>/[AlCl<sub>3</sub>], respectively.

# 2.3. <sup>29</sup>Si NMR measurements

<sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectroscopy is the most powerful tool to characterize oligomeric silanes. But unfortunately only very few data for amino as well as alkoxy substituted oligosilanes are known until now. So this work should also give a contribution to a better insight into <sup>29</sup>Si NMR general chemical shift ranges of amino as well as alkoxy substituted oligosilanes. Scheme 6 shows the general chemical shift ranges for the investigated Si sites.

This information is very helpful for the assignment of Si-C-N-O polymers. So the base catalyzed disproportionation of methylchlorodisilanes leads over methylchlorooligosilanes [4] to methylchloropolysilanes which can be spun into green fibers. After curing with ammonia, primary amines or traces of water vapour their pyrolysis gives SiC fibers [10]. Aim of this crosslinking which has to make the green fibers infusible should be the generation of amino as well as oxo substituted Si sites  $Si_x Si(CH_3)Cl_v(N <)_{3-x-v}$  or  $\operatorname{Si}_{x}Si(\operatorname{CH}_{3})\operatorname{Cl}_{y}(\operatorname{O}^{-})_{3-x-29}$  near the surface. But until now the signals of the Si NMR spectra could not be assigned to judge the curing stage of such polymer products. It seems now that Si-SiCl<sub>2</sub>Me groups of the polysilane were converted by ammonia into Si- $SiClMe-N < and Si-SiMe(-N <)_2$  whereas linear Si-SiClMe-Si units of the polymer were not affected. This finding parallels our results discussed above for methylchlorodi- and -trisilanes.

#### 3. Experimental

#### 3.1. General comments

All <sup>29</sup>Si, <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker MSL 300 using the IGATED pulse sequence (C, Si) with TMS or Si<sub>2</sub>Me<sub>6</sub> ( $\delta_{Si}$  – 19.68 ppm, used if signals near 0 ppm were expected) as internal standard and CDCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent. GC/MS measurements were carried out on a Hewlett Packard 5971. Ionisation energy: 70 eV; Column: 30 m×0.25 mm×0.25  $\mu$ m coated with phenylmethylpolysiloxane. Flow: Helium 0.5 ml/min. Because of the high injection and column temperature the GC/MS investigations succeeded only for relatively stable compounds. The more reactive chlorocompounds gave reactions on the column.

## 3.2. Preparation of (diethylamino)methylchlorotrisilanes

The (diethylamino)methylchlorotrisilanes were prepared by the reaction of bis(dichloromethylsilyl)chloromethylsilane [4] or bis(chlorodimethylsilyl)chloromethylsilane [9], respectively, and diethylamine in various molar ratios. Diethylamine were added dropwise to a solution of 1 g methylchlorotrisilane in 50 ml dry *n*-hexane. The mixture was stirred for 24 h before the formed HNEt<sup>\*</sup><sub>2</sub> HCl was filtered off. The solvents and volatiles were evaporated from the resulting filtrate. The products were investigated by means of NMR spectroscopy.

#### 3.3. Preparation of methoxymethylchlorodi– and –trisilanes

The preparation of the (methoxy)-methychlorosilanes was carried out by the reaction of the corresponding methychlorooligosilanes with  $HC(OMe)_3-AlCl_3$  as described in [3].

## *3.4. Preparation of (diethylamino)(methoxy)-methylchlorodi- and -trisilanes*

Starting from the corresponding (methoxy)methychlorooligosilanes, diluted in dried *n*-hexane, the addition of the given molar ratio diethylamine yielded after working up, as described above for the preparation of (diethylamino)-methychlorotrisilanes the desired (diethylamino)(methoxy)-methychlorodi- and -trisilanes (Tables 4–6).

# *3.5. Preparation of 1,2,3-trimethoxypertamethyltrisilane and 1,1,2,3,3-pentamethoxytrimenthyl-trisilane*

About 3.5 mmol (approx. 1 g)  $[SiMe_2(OMe)]_2$ SiMeCl or  $[SiMe(OMe)_2]_2$ SiMeCl, respectively, were stirred

with 10 ml *n*-hexane, 4 mmol (0.13 g) dried methanol and 3.5 mmol (0.35 g) triethylamine for 1 h. The mixture was filtered from the precipitated NEt<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup> HCl and the solvent evaporated to yield  $[SiMe_2(OMe)]_2$ SiMe(OMe) or  $[SiMe(OMe)_2]_2$ SiMe(OMe) in approximately 90% yield. Both compounds are already known [11,12], but were prepared on different routes.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der chemischen Industrie for financial support.

#### References

 K. Trommer, E. Brendler, G. Roewer, J. Prakt. Chem. 339 (1997) 82.

- [2] K. Trommer, U. Herzog, G. Roewer, J. Prakt. Chem. 339 (1997) 637.
- [3] U. Herzog, N. Schulze, K. Trommer, G. Roewer, J. Organomet. Chem., 1997, in press.
- [4] U. Herzog, G. Richter, E. Brendler, G. Roewer, J. Organomet. Chem. 507 (1996) 221.
- [5] F. Babonneau, J. Maquet, C. Bonhomme, R. Richter, G. Roewer, D. Bahloul, Chem. Mater. 8 (1996) 1415.
- [6] K. Trommer, U. Herzog, G. Roewer, J. Organomet. Chem. 540 (1997) 119.
- [7] R. Lehnert, M. Höppner, H. Kelling, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 591 (1990) 209.
- [8] K. Schenzel, K. Hassler, in: N. Auner, J. Weis (Eds.), Organosilicon Chemistry II (1996) 95.
- [9] U. Herzog, E. Brendler, G. Roewer, J. Organomet. Chem. 511 (1996) 85.
- [10] R. Richter, G. Roewer, U. Böhme, K. Busch, F. Babonneau, H.P. Martin, E. Müller, Appl. Organomet. Chem. 11 (1997) 71.
- [11] K. Schenzel, K. Hassler, Spectrochim. Acta 50A (1994) 127.
- [12] K. Tamao, G.-R. Sun, A. Kawachi, S. Yamaguchi, Organometallics 16 (1997) 780.